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**ABSTRACT**

Geopolymer concrete is an inorganic concrete that uses industrial or agro byproduct ashes as the main binder instead of ordinary Portland cement; this leads to the geopolymer concrete being an eco-efficient and environmentally friendly construction material. Nanotechnology is one of the most active research areas with novel science and useful applications that have gradually gained attention, especially during the last two decades. Recently efforts have been made to incorporate nanoparticles in construction materials to enhance properties and produce concrete with improved performances. Progress in improving geopolymer concrete (GPC) is fast becoming a viable substitute for traditional cement-based concrete. That is because GPC is considered an eco-efficient and green concrete that consumes many waste materials. To improve the performance of GPC, several methods have been investigated, including the using of nanomaterials to enhance its chemical reactivity and provide fine particles to fill its nanopores and voids. In this paper, an overview was carried out to show the impact of nano-silica (nS) inclusions on the fresh and mechanical characteristics of GPC. Based on the analyzed data, incorporating nS affects the fresh properties adversely while improving the mechanical performance up to an appropriate dosage of the nS.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Concrete is the most widely used construction material in the world [1], usually portland cement is used to bind the ingredients of the concrete composites. However, the production of portland cement is partly responsible for the greenhouse effect and significantly contributes to global warming [2]. The manufacturing process of cement emits nearly 1 ton of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) for every ton of cement, which occupies around 7% of the total global greenhouse gas emissions [3]. Therefore, to decrease the environmental impact of cement, a lot of research has been done to develop new materials to be an alternative to portland cement [4]. Among them, geopolymers were developed first by Davidovits in France, 1970 [5]. It is supposed that geopolymers are the third generation of construction materials in human written history after lime and cement [6].

The drawback of GPC concerning global warming is around 70% lower than Portland cement concrete; this is because of the high consumption of industrial and agro byproduct materials inside the GPC mixtures, such as ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS), rice husk ash (RHA), fly ash (FA), and palm oil fuel ash (POFA) [7]. The composition of the geopolymer concrete composite is consists of source binder materials, aggregates, and high alkalinity liquids. Source binder materials like ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS), fly ash (FA), palm oil fuel ash (POFA), and rice husk ash (RHA) [8]. Aggregates are coarse and fine aggregate made from river naturally or crushed stone with required properties and gradations [9]. Alkali activators are mixtures of potassium silicate and potassium hydroxide, or sodium silicate and sodium hydroxide [9]. The polymerization of these materials produces solid concrete that is nearly identical to normal concrete [10].

The polymerization mechanism could be briefly explained as follows: in the first stage, dissolution of the silicate and aluminum elements of the binder inside the high alkalinity aqueous solution produces ions of silicon and aluminum oxide. In the second stage, a mixture of silicate, aluminate, and aluminosilicate species, which through a contemporaneous operation of poly-condensation-gelation further condensation, finally produces an amorphous gel [11]. The performance of geopolymer composites can be influenced by various factors, including the type and content of source binder materials, sodium silicate to sodium hydroxide ratio, the molarity of NaOH, excess water, mix proportions, and the technique of curing [9, 12].

In the last two decades, scientists and researchers studied nanotechnology and nanoscience in the various sectors of life, mainly due to the progress of convenient techniques of characteristics and reactions control in the nanoscale [13]. Therefore, nanoparticles (NPs) were introduced with conventional concrete and geopolymer matrices to enhance durability issues, mechanical properties, and physical structure of the geopolymer mixture [14, 15]. The effect of adding NPs to geopolymer composites on their fresh and mechanical properties was examined in this paper. As a result, the impact of NPs content on the mechanical properties and workability of the geopolymer composite were discussed in the most recent and previously published publications.

2. Nanomaterials in GPC

Nanotechnology enables researchers to monitor and reorganize the material at the atomic and molecular scale of 1 to 100 nm and define new properties at the level of atoms and molecules [15, 16]. The mechanical characteristics, chemical reactivity, surface energy, morphology, the conductivity of electrons, and optical absorption of composites were considerable changes in switching off one material from macro size to the nanoparticle scale [17].

In the civil engineering field, nanomaterials stand out as new materials, and nanotechnology has been used in many applications and products. The utilization of nanomaterials in traditional cement-based concrete has been broadly studied in the literature [15, 13]. In the same context, several investigations have been carried out to study the influences of nanomaterial's inclusion on the mechanical performance and chemical durability of GPC composites [18,19], structural performance [20], fresh and microstructural properties [21],
physio-mechanical properties [22], permeability [23] and fire resistance [24]. Moreover, in the literature, a variety of nano-materials like nS [18-27], nano-alumina [28], nano-titanium oxide [29], nano-clay [30], nano-metakaolin [31] and carbon nanotubes [32] were used in GPC. Among them, the most widely used nanomaterial is the nS due to its chemical composition that has the nano-filing effect and pozzolanic reactivity [33, 34]. Nano-silica has mostly been employed in Portland cement-based concrete to boost internal structure, durability, and mechanical properties because of its ability to increase cement hydration and pozzolanic activity through nucleation. Another reason to substitute cement with nano-silica-rich materials in concrete was to minimize the impact of the CO₂ footprint of concrete on the air [3].

Because of the filling effect of pores and voids among cement particles by nanomaterials, free water's motionlessness occurs when the nanomaterials are introduced to the cement granulations, known as the filler effect. Furthermore, through the pozzolanic reaction, nanomaterials participate in the assembly of new calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) gels and consequently enhance the bond strength characteristics of the mix by improving the interfacial transition zone between the binder pastes and aggregates [35]. When the curing condition of the GPC is ambient curing resulting poor compressive strength and gets strength slowly [36]. However, it has an excellent compressive strength in heat-cured conditions, which restricts the application of GPC to precast structural members [37]. So, one of the methods tried to tackle this problem is using nanomaterials to accelerate the chemical reactions inside the GPC to obtain sufficient strength in the ambient curing [20, 38].

3. Fresh Properties of GPC

The term workability in the science of concrete technology refers to the behavior of concrete in the fresh state, and it is defined as the useful internal work required to generate full compaction of the concrete [46]. It is familiar that the mechanical, physical, internal structure, and durability of concrete are strongly affected by the fresh concrete's characteristics. Water to cement ratio, aggregate form, size, gradation, surface texture, presence of mineral and chemical admixtures, climate condition, and cement content all affect the concrete workability [39]. To evaluate the workability, slump cone [41], slump flow [42], Vebe [43], compacting factor [44], and flow table [45] tests are widely utilized. As shown in Fig.1 and Fig.2, several investigations have been performed to demonstrate the impact of nS content on the fresh characteristics of GPC mixtures.

A study has been conducted by Mustakim et al. [21] to determine the impact of nS content on the fresh, internal structure and mechanical characteristics of slag/fly ash-based GPC. They used different dosages of nS range from 0 to 2.5%. Their results revealed that the GPC's workability was increased by 27%, 26%, 31%, 30%, and 27%, at 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, 2%, and 2.5% nS content, respectively, that may be due to sliding the concrete particle on each other as the particles of nS are the sphere in shape [21]. Another study displayed the influence of nS inclusions on the workability of fly ash-based GPC. According to their results, the inclusion of 6% of nS caused an improvement in the slump value by 8% compared to the control geopolymer concrete mixture [20]. In addition, a study has been carried out to show the effect of nS addition on the strength of natural pozzolana-based GPC. They observed that the workability was risen with the increment of nS content up to 2.5%, and beyond that slump reduction was observed. The increase in the workability may be argued to the colloidal nature of nS, while, fall in the slump at higher nS dosage could be related to an increase in the water demand of nanoparticles that have a greater specific surface area due to the nature of their nanoscales [25]. Simultaneously, the permeability of slag-based GPC was examined under the influence of micro and nano-silica. Results indicated that addition of 0.5, 1, 3 and 5% nS lowered the slump value by 9%, 27%, 50% and 82%, respectively. This reduction in a slump can be attributed to the fact that nS particles are smaller than the binder of the GPC particles; therefore, a high amount of water is required due to the high surface area. Consequently, the workability of the GPC mixtures decreased [23].
In addition, studies have been carried out to show how the presence of nS affects the fire resistance and mechanical qualities of recycled aggregate fly ash-based GPC. They discovered that adding nS to the GPC mixture significantly reduces its workability, especially at high dosage replacement. The maximum reduction in the slump flow of the GPC was 16% at 3% of nS content compared to the benchmark GPC mixture without any nS content [24]. Another investigation on the effects of nS and regular Portland cement content on the characteristics of fly ash-based GPC was undertaken in the same context. The mean slump flows value of 0%, 1%, 2% and 3% nS content were 697, 621, 645 and 568 mm, respectively. The decrease in the slump flow value was more noticeable at large nS dosages. This outcome was argued to be the high surface area of nS that contained many unsaturated bonds of Si-O (silicon-oxygen), which absorbed some part of water content from alkali liquid solutions leading to the formation of Si-OH and as a consequence, a stiffer GPC mixture was produced [26].

![Figure 1: Variation of the slump cone of GPC incorporating nS](image1)

![Figure 2: Variation of the slump flow of GPC incorporating nS](image2)
4. Mechanical Properties of GPC

4.1 Compressive Strength

One of the most important mechanical properties of concrete structures is the compressive strength, and it usually gives a general performance about the quality of the concrete [46]. Compressive strength tests are conducted by following the standard test methods of ASTM C39 [47], or BS EN 12390-3 [48] to determine the compressive strength of the concrete specimens. Table 1 shows the impact of incorporating nS on GPC compressive strength after three, seven, and twenty-eight days of curing. Overall, the data suggest that adding nS to GPC improves compressive strength up to a certain quantity of nS dosages.

An investigation has been carried out by Mustakim et al. [21] on the fresh, internal structural, and also mechanical characteristics of FA/GGBFS-based GPC with nS at various volume fractions. They discovered that all nano-silica-containing combinations had higher compressive strength than virgin mixtures without nS. After curing at 28 days' room temperature, the highest compressive strength was reported at a dosage of 1.5% nS, which increased compressive strength by 11% above the normal mix. The increase in strength can be attributed to the filling of nanopores inside the GPC by silica nanoparticles that make the matrix denser and more compact. In addition, due to chemical characteristics of the nS, which is rich in silica, accelerate the geopolymer reactions and make the geopolymer binder stronger, which eventually enhances the specimens' strength. Moreover, they prefer 1.5% of nS would be the optimum content for compressive strength improvement, beyond that dosage, it slightly reduced in the compressive strength according to overflowing availability in the matrix of unreacted nS particles, and the excess number of nS causes agglomerations between the nS particles that may have prevented silica dissolution, as a result of which voids form and, as a result, a reduction in the GPC's compressive strength [21]. The same findings have been made by [19, 24, 27], who discovered that adding nS to GPC increases its compressive strength up to a specific dosage of nS. Furthermore, based on the experimental finding of Nuaklong et al. [26], the incorporation of nS improves the compressive strength of the GPC up to 2% of nS, and over that dosage decrease in the compressive strength was reported.

Similar to that, Khater [22] examined the impact of various dosages of nS on physio-mechanical characteristics of GPC. Dosages from 0 to 8% were used. It was reported that the optimum dosage was 3% in terms of compressive strength, which was 36 MPa, while the correspondent compressive strength was 25 MPa. This optimum dosage (3%) of nS was also reported by Behfarnia and Rostami [23] and Mahboubi et al. [30] in their studies. They investigated the permeability and durability properties of GPC with the inclusion of different nS contents. However, Rabiaa et al. [31] observed that 4% of nS was optimum content among 0 to 8% of nS dosages. According to Behfarnia and Rostami [23], adding 3% of nS enhanced the 28-day compressive strength by 12%. Meanwhile, there was a decline in compressive strength beyond this dosage. This result may support the fact that nS takes part in the pozzolanic reaction to make more calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) gel and fill the pores inside the matrix in the range of nano-level. At the same time, the reduction in strength was related to agglomerations of nS particles in the geopolymer concrete mixture, followed by the formation of voids in the concrete matrix [23].

Another study was conducted on the impact of nS on the microstructure and strength of natural pozzolan-based GPC; they used 0, 1, 2.5, 5, and 7.5% of nS. They discovered that adding nS to GPC enhanced its compressive strength, and the highest value (about 18%) being for the specimens with 5% of nS dosage, whereas the minimum values were measured in the reference GPC mixture without the presence of nS [25]. Similarly, the addition of nS particles improves the structural performance of the GPC [20].
Table 1: Variation of the compressive strength of GPC incorporating different dosages of nS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>nS%</th>
<th>3 Day</th>
<th>7 Day</th>
<th>28 Day</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>nS%</th>
<th>3 Day</th>
<th>7 Day</th>
<th>28 Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mustaki et al., 2020 [21]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Khater HM, 2016 [22]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Çevik et al., 2018 [18]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Emad et al., 2018 [27]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahboubi et al., 2019 [30]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Naskara and Chakraborty, 2016 [55]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>19.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabiaa et al., 2020 [31]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>Behfarnia and Rostami, 2017 [23]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuaklong et al., 2020 [24]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Patel et al., 2015 [19]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuaklong et al., 2018 [26]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ibrahim et al., 2018 [25]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adak et al., 2016 [20]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>35.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>46.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Splitting Tensile Strength (STS)

Concrete’s splitting tensile strength is another significant mechanical property, and it can be measured indirectly by applying the standards test method of ASTM C496 [49] or BS EN
150*300 mm or 100*200 mm cylinder specimens are used to evaluate the splitting tensile strength to which loads are applied to axial lines opposite to each other. A constant compression stress loads range from 0.7 to 0.3 MPa is applied [46]. The load is increased until failure along the vertical diameter takes place [40, 51]. Table 2 demonstrates some literature studies that have been conducted to illustrate the effects of adding nS on the GPC’s splitting tensile strength.

An investigation on the GPC’s durability behavior has been studied with the addition of the various nS dosages (0-3 percent). They noticed that with the increment of nS dosages, the splitting tensile strength of the GPC was increased. For instance, tensile strength at the age of 28 days was increased by 18%, 30%, and 33% at 1, 2, and 3% dosage of nS content, respectively, compared to the control mixture [30]. Nuaklong et al. [26] investigated the influence of nS inclusion on the characteristics of a recycled aggregate fly ash-based geopolymer concrete composite. They found that the splitting tensile strength of the GPC without nS was 2.7 MPa, and the most excellent tensile strength value was achieved at 1% of nS content that is 3.0 MPa; this value was decreased slightly with adding extra content of nS [26]. The improvement of the splitting tensile strength at an optimum range of nS and decline in the tensile strength beyond that optimum dosage will argue the same as discussed in the compression strength section. Other studies with different dosages of nS do agree with these findings [27, 20].

A study was carried out to show the impact of nS and nano metakaolin on the properties of GPC [31]. They used 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8% of these nS, and they observed that with the addition of nS splitting, tensile strength was improved up to an optimum dosage of the nS. At 4% nS content, the maximum splitting tensile strength was observed, about 21% higher than the control mixture. While, in the event of nano metakaolin, 6% was recorded as an optimum content to give the highest splitting tensile strength (3.9 MPa) in comparison to a reference GPC mixture (2.7 MPa) that did not contain any NPs dosage [31].

In contrast, fewer researchers [18, 24] declared that the use of nS slightly decreases the GPC’s splitting tensile strength. For example, Nuaklong et al. [24] discovered that the tensile strength of the GPC mixture with 1, 2, and 3% nS concentration is somewhat reduced compared to equivalent concrete mixtures without NPs. This result may be argued to the distribution of the nS and making weak zones by agglomeration among nS inside the concrete mixes, or the tensile failure may occur inside the weak aggregate particles instead of the interfacial transition zone between the strong binder pastes and the aggregate particles [24].

Table 2: Variation of the splitting tensile strength of GPC incorporating different dosages of nS at 28 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>nS%</th>
<th>Splitting Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>nS%</th>
<th>Splitting Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Çevik et al., 2018 [18]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>Adak et al., 2016 [20]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuaklong et al., 2020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Mahboubi et al., 2019 [30]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuaklong et al., 2018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Rabiaa et al., 2020 [31]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emad et al., 2018 [27]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 Flexural Strength (FS)

As illustrated in Fig. 6, several investigations have been undertaken to find out the role of nS inclusion on the flexural strength of geopolymer composites. Similar to the splitting tensile strength, the flexural strength tests of ASTM C78 [52], ASTM C293 [53], and or BS EN 12390-5 [54] are provided to investigate the indirect tensile strength behavior of the concrete composites. The maximum bending stress applied to the concrete before it failed is used to determine its flexural strength. Nano-silica added to geopolymer composites only slightly enhanced flexural strength. The majority of studies found that adding nS to geopolymer composites improved their flexural strength. For instance, research work has been conducted by Rabiaa et al. [31] to show the influence of nS and nano metakaolin on GPC characteristics. They noticed that increasing the nano metakaolin content enhanced flexural strength up to 6% of the nano metakaolin dosage; then, flexural strength declined significantly. The flexural strength was 4.6, 5, 5.3, 5.7, and 5.5 MPa at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8% of nano metakaolin dosage, respectively; the highest flexural strength was measured at 6% of nano metakaolin dosage. In the same context, with the increment of nS dosage, flexural strength was increased up to 4% of nS; after that reduction in the flexural strength was recorded, the maximum flexural strength was about 23% greater than with the relative GPC mixture without any nS content [31].

In addition, Emad et al. [27] investigated the impact of nS on the mechanical properties of slag-based GPC. Compared to a control slag-based geopolymer concrete mixture without any nS dosage, the flexural strength of the slag-based GPC increased by 22% at the age of 28 days, including 2% of nS dosage. Furthermore, they also noticed that nS addition did not influence the shape of the crack patterns, and the plane of failure of the slag-based GPC specimens nearly falls at the middle of the specimens. This may be argued to the more homogeneity of the slag-based GPC [27]. Similar results of improving flexural strength of GPC can also be observed in other studies even though a different dosage of nS was used [26].

In contrast, fewer researchers [18, 24] have found that adding nS to the GPC reduces its flexural strength. For example, Nuaklong et al. [24] found that the flexural strength of GPC mixtures with 1, 2, and 3% nS content was lowered compared to non-nS concrete compositions. Nano-silica dosages of 1, 2, and 3%, for example, increased flexural strength by 28 percent, 32 percent, and 34 percent, at the age of 28 days, respectively. This finding can be discussed in the same way as the splitting tensile strength section was explained, and in addition, the incorporation of nS made the GPC more brittle due to strengthening the interfacial transition zone between the aggregates and the binder paste [24].

Table 3: Variation of the flexural strength of GPC incorporating different dosages of nS at 28 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>nS%</th>
<th>Flexural Strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Çevik et al., 2018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuaklong et al., 2020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuaklong et al., 2018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Conclusion

The thorough literature analysis of the impact of nS addition on the rheology and mechanical properties of GPC shows that the addition of the most commonly employed nanomaterial inclusion of nS to the GPC can:

a. Fill the pores and voids into the nanoscale, accelerate the chemical reactions among the ingredients of the GPC mixture, participate in the pozzolanic reactions, and improve the interfacial transition zones between the aggregate and binder pastes are improved.

b. Improve the workability of the GPC mixture up to an appropriate dosage of nS content, and then, reduction in the slump flow was recorded.

c. Improve the GPC’s compressive strength up to an optimum dosage of the nS content.

d. Improve the GPC’s splitting tensile strength up to an optimum dosage of the nS content.

e. Improve the flexural strength of the GPC up to an optimum dosage of the nS content.

f. Produce an eco-friendly and sustainable GPC.
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